




Q01 The lecturer produced classes that were well organised

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	2	2	15	6
%	0	0	8	8	60	24


RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	4.10 - 4.62
%Agr	Tot: 25
84	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 4.00



Q02 The lecturer presented material in an interesting way

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	3	3	12	7
%	0	0	12	12	48	28


RIGs	Mid 50%
Med	3.81 - 4.50
%Agr	Tot: 25
76	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 3.92



Q03 The lecturer gave adequate feedback on my work

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	6	5	8	6
%	0	0	24	20	32	24


RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	3.80 - 4.41
%Agr	Tot: 25
56	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 3.56



Q04 The lecturer treated students with respect

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	0	0	7	18
%	0	0	0	0	28	72


RIGs	Mid 50%
Med	4.45 - 4.81
%Agr	Tot: 25
100	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 4.72



Q05 The lecturer seemed to know the course well

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	1	3	8	13
%	0	0	4	12	32	52


RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	4.48 - 4.85
%Agr	Tot: 25
84	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 4.32



Q06 The lecturer communicated his/her enthusiasm for the course

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	1	3	9	12
%	0	0	4	12	36	48


RIGs	Mid 50%
Med	4.27 - 4.75
%Agr	Tot: 25
84	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 4.28



Q07 The lecturer emphasised thinking rather than just memorising

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	1	0	2	12	10
%	0	4	0	8	48	40


RIGs	Mid 50%
Med	4.13 - 4.67
%Agr	Tot: 25
88	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 4.20



Q08 The lecturer gave explanations that were clear

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	1	3	6	9	6
%	0	4	12	24	36	24


RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	3.92 - 4.50
%Agr	Tot: 25
60	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 3.64



Q09 The lecturer was available for consultation

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	1	0	1	3	9	11
%	4	0	4	12	36	44


RIGs	Mid 50%
Med	4.00 - 4.52
%Agr	Tot: 25
80	Valid N: 24
	Avg: 4.25



Q10 The lecturer helped me to improve my learning skills

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	1	7	13	4
%	0	0	4	28	52	16

RIGs	Mid 50%
Med	3.71 - 4.32
%Agr	Tot: 25
68	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 3.80






Q11 The course has fulfilled the stated objectives

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	1	0	1	7	12	4
%	4	0	4	28	48	16


RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	3.91 - 4.35
%Agr	Tot: 25
64	Valid N: 24
	Avg: 3.79



Q12 The workload was appropriate for the credit point value of the course

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	1	0	9	10	5
%	0	4	0	36	40	20


RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	3.76 - 4.30
%Agr	Tot: 25
60	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 3.72



Q13 Assessment requirements and marking criteria were made clear at the beginning of this course

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	1	0	7	10	7
%	0	4	0	28	40	28


RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	3.96 - 4.45
%Agr	Tot: 25
68	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 3.88



Q14 I have achieved the graduate attributes which the course aimed to develop (e.g. oral / written communication, team work, critical thinking, problem solving)

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	2	6	14	3
%	0	0	8	24	56	12


RIGs	Mid 50%
Med	3.71 - 4.20
%Agr	Tot: 25
68	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 3.72



Q15 The course was well administered (e.g. sufficient resources were available when needed)

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	1	0	4	7	11	2
%	4	0	16	28	44	8


RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	3.84 - 4.39
%Agr	Tot: 25
52	Valid N: 24
	Avg: 3.46



Q16 Overall I was satisfied with the quality of this course

	NA	SD	D	N	A	SA
No.	0	0	3	4	13	5
%	0	0	12	16	52	20

RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	3.91 - 4.44
%Agr	Tot: 25
72	Valid N: 25
	Avg: 3.80



Q20 All things considered, how would you rate this staff member's overall effectiveness as a university teacher?

	NA	Poor	Avg	Excel		
No.	0	0	2	2	12	7
%	0	0	9	9	52	30

RIGs	Mid 50%
Lo	4.06 - 4.63
%Agr	Tot: 23
82	Valid N: 23
	Avg: 4.04

